SYNTHESIS AND REACTIONS OF 1-PENTAFLUOROSULFUR-F-ETHYLSILVER

RONALD E. NOFTLE\* and WILLIAM B. FOX

Chemistry Division, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375, U.S.A.

#### ABSTRACT

Trifluorovinylsulfurpentafluoride,  $SF_5CF=CF_2$ , reacted with silver(I) fluoride in acetonitrile solution to form 1-pentafluorosulfur-F-ethylsilver,  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ , which was isolated as the acetonitrile solvate.  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  reacted with HC1, HBr, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>3</sub>I to form good yields of HCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>, with Br<sub>2</sub> to form BrCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> and (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and with O<sub>2</sub> to produce SOF<sub>4</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>C(O)F. Thermolysis of AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>3</sub>CN resulted in the evolution of CH<sub>3</sub>CN and formation of (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>CF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>, SF<sub>4</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1O</sub>, CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>, and Ag. (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was found to be thermally unstable and decomposed at 100° to S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1O</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>.

#### INTRODUCTION

As part of a program concerned with the synthesis and characterization of new pentafluorosulfur derivatives, we became interested in the development of a general route to compounds incorporating the  $(CF_3CFSF_5)$  group. The pioneering work of Miller and co-workers in the preparation and reactions

<sup>\*</sup>Visiting Scientist 1975-76. Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27109, U.S.A.

of F-alkylsilver compounds [1,2,3], as well as results reported by others [4,5,6], suggested that the corresponding pentafluorosulfur-F-alkylsilvers might be valuable reagents for this purpose. Although pentafluorosulfur compounds of this type had not been synthesized previously, it seemed likely that they might be derived by addition of silver fluoride to F-vinylsulfurpentafluorides.

In this paper we report the preparation of 1-pentafluorosulfur-F-ethylsilver,  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ , and its reactions with HCl, HBr, H<sub>2</sub>O, Br<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>I. Thermolysis of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  leads to the formation of the novel  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  and probably  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$ . Results are summarized in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Reactions of 1-pentafluorosulfur-F-ethylsilver. (\*indicates new compound.)

### EXPERIMENTAL

Trifluorovinylsulfurpentafluoride,  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  [7], was prepared by the method of Steward et al. [8]. The preparative sequence involved the synthesis of  $SF_5Br$  [9,10] and its reaction with vinyl fluoride (PCR, Inc.) to yield  $SF_5CHFCF_2Br$  [8]. Dehydrohalogenation of the latter with KOH in mineral oil yielded  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  of very high purity after fractionation at

-80° [8]. AgF (Ventron Corp.) was handled under inert atmosphere conditions.  $Br_2$  was dried over  $P_4O_{10}$  prior to use.  $CH_3I$  (Fisher Scientific Co.) was analyzed by gas chromatography and infrared spectroscopy [11] to determine whether or not HI was present. None was found.  $CH_3CN$  (Fisher Scientific Co.) was dried and stored over CaH<sub>2</sub>.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a P-E Model 457 infrared 19<sub>F</sub> spectrophotometer using a 10 cm gas cell with KBr windows. nmr measurements were obtained using a JEOL Co. Model MH-100 high resolution nmr spectrometer operating at 91.4 MHz; chemical shifts are reported with respect to an external reference of CCl<sub>3</sub>F. Mass spectra were recorded on a CVC Model MA-2 time-offlight mass spectrometer at an ionizing potential of 70 V. Gas chromatographic separations were carried out using a Gow-Mac Model 69-500 gas chromatograph equipped with a nickel filament detector and a 4'x1/4" column packed with 20% DCQF-1 on 30/60 mesh Chromosorb P. Vapor pressure measurements were made by the isoteniscope method using a calibrated copper-constantan thermocouple; the data were refined by the method of least-squares\*. Chemical analyses were performed by Beller Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, Gottingen, F.D.R.

# Preparation of AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>

AgF (0.5258 g, 4.1 mmol) was placed in a 30 cm<sup>3</sup> evacuated bulb and  $CH_3CN$  (2.7116 g) was added.  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  (2.5657 g, 12.3 mmol) was condensed onto the frozen slurry, and the mixture was allowed to warm slowly to 25° after which it was stirred for one hour in subdued light. During this time most of the AgF dissolved to give a dark muddy-looking suspension. The mixture was filtered under vacuum through a 30/60 micron glass frit; a very small amount of dark material remained on the frit, and

<sup>\*</sup>Dr. John C. Cooper, "Linear Least-Squares," Program for use with HP-65 calculator.

the filtrate was a clear, pale yellow solution. The volatile materials were pumped away, leaving a white residue which on further pumping turned gray. Pumping was continued until the residue did not appear moist and the pressure above the solid fell to about 1 mm. Theor. wt. for composition  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ·  $CH_3CN$ : 1.5583 g. Found: 1.5783 g. Infrared analysis of the volatile materials indicated the presence of  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  [7],  $CH_3CN$  [12], and a trace of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  [13].

Other experiments were in accord with these observations. The best results were obtained when a ratio of  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  to AgF of 2:1 or greater was used. If equimolar amounts of reactants were used, the reaction was slow and incomplete. It was observed that filtered solutions of AgCF( $SF_5$ )CF<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN decomposed on standing at room temperature to give a black precipitate (Ag) and HCF( $SF_5$ )CF<sub>3</sub> as two of the products. Solid AgCF( $SF_5$ )CF<sub>3</sub>, isolated as the acetonitrile solvate, appeared to be considerably more stable (see thermolysis below), but gradual darkening of the substance was observed to occur even in subdued light.

# Reactions of AgCF(SF5)CF3

# With HX

A sample of AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>3</sub>CN (8 mmol) was prepared in a 30 cm<sup>3</sup> reaction vessel, and HCl (4.2 mmol) was added. An immediate reaction occurred, and the originally gray solid whitened considerably. After 1 hr., the volatile materials were removed and separated by repeated fractionation at -35°, -80°, and -196°. The -196° fraction consisted of HCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> (2.6 mmol) and a trace of SiF4. The -80° fraction was mainly CH3CN but a trace of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  was present. The -35° fraction consisted of CH<sub>3</sub>CN contaminated with a small amount of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ . No unreacted HCl was detected in any of the fractions. An excess of HBr (6.2 mmol) was then added to the AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>3</sub>CN/AgCl residue, and a further reaction took place in which a pale yellow solid (AgBr) was produced. Fractionation of the volatile materials yielded HBr (-196°), HCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> (1.9 mmol, -118°), and CH<sub>3</sub>CN contaminated with some  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  (-80°).

In a separate experiment, moisture was admitted to a small sample of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot CH_3CN$ . The solid immediately darkened and bubbled vigorously. Infrared analysis of the volatile materials revealed the presence of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  and  $CH_3CN$ .

# With Br2

A sample of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot CH_3CN$  (3.24 mmol) was allowed to react with an excess of dry  $Br_2$  (3.83 mmol). A reaction took place as the reaction vessel warmed from -196° to room temperature with the formation of a liquid and a pale yellow solid. After 12 hr., the volatile materials (1.2334 g) were removed, leaving a pale yellow residue of AgBr (0.5952 g, 3.17 mmol). The excess  $Br_2$  in the volatile materials was removed by shaking with Hg. The resulting clear, colorless, two-phase liquid was further separated by fractional condensation at -196°, -120°, and -80°.  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$  (0.4482 g, 1.46 mmol, 45%) was recovered from the -196° fraction by gas chromatographic separation from the impurities  $CF_3CF=CFCF_3$  [14],  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ,  $SF_5CF=CF_2$ ,  $S_2F_{10}$ [15a,b] and  $CH_3CN$  initially present.

The two-phase liquid trapped at -80° was separated into two fractions by carefully evaporating the top layer without disturbing the bottom layer. Gas chromatographic and infrared analysis indicated that the top layer contained mainly CH<sub>3</sub>CN with CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>,  $S_2F_{10}$  and  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  present as impurities. The bottom layer consisted mainly of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  contaminated with CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>,  $S_2F_{10}$ , and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Attempts to isolate pure  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  from this reaction failed owing to lack of sufficient quantity of the substance and decomposition during chromatographic separations.

A second reaction of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot CH_3CN$  with  $Br_2$  was carried out in which the  $Br_2$  was added in two increments in order to maximize the yield of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ .  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot$  $CH_3CN$  (2.1949 g, 5.84 mmol) was allowed to react with  $Br_2$ (3.01 mmol) for 1 hr., after which time the red-brown color of  $Br_2$  had been discharged. The volatile materials (1.3519 g) were removed and worked-up as before.  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$  (0.3817 g, 1.24 mmol, 21%) and  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  (0.6024 g, 1.33 mmol, 46%) were isolated from the other components (0.3678g)  $CF_3CF=CFCF_3$ ,  $S_2F_{10}$ ,  $CH_3CN$ , and a trace of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$ . A second portion of  $Br_2$  (3.86 mmol) was added to the solid residue. After 12 hrs., the red-brown color had not been discharged, and the volatile materials were removed. The excess  $Br_2$  was removed as described previously. Work-up of the remainder yielded  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$  (0.0834 g., 0.27 mmol, 5%) and  $CF_3CF=$  $CFCF_3$ ,  $S_2F_{10}$ , ( $CF_3CFSF_5$ )<sub>2</sub> and  $CH_3CN$  (0.0150 g). The residue was a pale yellow and gray solid. Theor. wt. for AgBr: 1.0966 g. Found: 1.1671 g.

# With O2

A solution of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  (2.70 mmol) in  $CH_3CN$  (1.4675 g) was allowed to react with a twofold excess of dry O<sub>2</sub> for a period of 12 hrs. The volatile materials were separated from O<sub>2</sub> at -196°, -118°, and -80°. The -196° fraction consisted of  $CF_3COF$  [14] and  $SOF_4$  [16] (0.3892 g, Calcd M. for equimolar mixture  $SOF_4$  and  $CF_3COF$ : 120 g/gmv. Found: 122 g/gmv). The -118° fraction (0.0522 g) was identified as  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  contaminated with a small amount of  $CF_3COF$ . The -80° fraction (1.3456 g) was mainly  $CH_3CN$  with a small amount of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  and  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  present. The solid residue (0.7468 g) hydrolyzed vigorously and  $SO_2F_2$  [14] was observed as the only volatile product. In another trial, similar results were observed when the reaction mixture was allowed to warm very slowly from -78° to 25°.

# With CH3I

A sample of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot CH_3CN$  (2.9 mmol) was allowed to react with  $CH_3I$  (2.0 mmol) for 2 hrs. After this time, the solid had turned to a sticky yellow slurry. Gas chromatographic and infrared analysis indicated the presence of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  as well as two unidentified trace components. A second portion of  $CH_3I$  (1.4 mmol) was added to the residue, and the mixture was allowed to stand for 12 hours with stirring. Analysis of the volatile materials revealed the presence of  $SOF_2$ ,  $SiF_4$ ,  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ,  $CH_3CN$ , and two unidentified trace components, one of which appeared early in the chromatogram and contained C-H bonds. The residue in the reaction vessel was a dark yellowgreen solid.

## Thermolysis

A sample of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \cdot CH_3CN$  (1.5783 g, 4.20 mmol) was heated to 50° under dynamic vacuum for 1 hr. The materials that evolved (0.0845 g) were collected at -196° and shown to be  $CH_3CN$  and traces of  $SF_5CF=CF_2$ ,  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ,  $SOF_4$  and  $CF_3COF_4$ . The residue was then maintained at 80-90° for several hours, and the volatile materials collected at -196° (1.1068 g) were separated by fractional condensation at -196° and -80°. The -196° fraction (0.1191 g) consisted of SF<sub>4</sub> [17], CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>, and a trace of SOF2. The -80° fraction consisted of two immiscible liquids. The top layer (0.1028 g) was distilled away without disturbing the bottom layer and subjected to gas chromatographic analysis which indicated that it consisted mostly of  $CH_3CN$  along with a small amount of  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$  and traces of low boiling compounds (SF<sub>6</sub> [14], SF<sub>4</sub>, SOF<sub>2</sub>, CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>). The more volatile portion of the bottom layer (0.1419 g) was treated similarly, and  $C_{2}F_{5}CF(SF_{5})CF_{3}$  (0.0566 g, 0.16 mmol, 8%) was isolated from the other components (SF4, CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub>,  $S_2F_{10}$ , CH<sub>3</sub>CN). The remainder (0.6585 g) was mainly (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> contaminated with C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub>CF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1O</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, and a trace of  $CF_3CF=CFCF_3$ . Pure  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  (0.3953 g, 0.87 mmol, 41%) was eventually isolated from the mixture. The reaction bulb was completely coated with a silver mirror. Theor. wt. for Ag: 0.4530 g. Found: 0.4715 g.

# Characterization of $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$ , $C_3F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$ , and $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$

spectrum: Table I. Vapor pressure equation (range 21° to 57°):  $\log p_{mm} = -\frac{1603}{T} + 7.732$ ,  $T_b$  (calcd) = 57.2°,  $\Delta H_v^o = 7.36$  kcal/mol,  $\Delta S_v^o = 22.2$  eu.

 $C_{2}F_{5}CF(SF_{5})CF_{3}: Analysis. Calcd: C, 14.67; F, 76.8; S, 9.21. Found: C, 13.87; F, 76.5; S, 8.65. Infrared spectrum (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1317(w), 1270(vs), 1255(s), 1245(sh), 1218(m), 1203(sh), 1190(vs), 1153(w), 1084(w), 970(w), 912(vvs), 893(m), 846(w), 823(m), 743(w), 701(vs), 612(w), 535(vvs). Mass spectrum: Table 1.$ 

(CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: Analysis. Calcd: C, 10.58; F, 75.30; S, 14.12. Found: C, 10.67; F, 75.4; S, 13.98. Infrared spectrum (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1380(vvw), 1288(vw), 1264(sh), 1255(sh), 1247(vs), 1228(s), 1195(s), 1150(vw), 1128(w), 959(vw), 940(sh), 930(sh), 918(vvw), 898(s), 855(m), 814(m), 792(w), 748(vw), 722(vw), 702(w), 625(vw), 600(w), 580(vvw), 543(vw). <sup>19</sup>F nmr = -71.2 ppm (multiplet 10 lines), -66.2 ppm (doublet), -63.8 ppm (singlet), 64.7 ppm (complex multiplet), 66.5 ppm (complex multiplet), 126.8 ppm (broadened multiplet). Mass spectrum: Table 1. Vapor pressure at 25° = 7 mm. Thermal stability: A sample of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  was placed in a Pyrex reactor and held at 100° for 48 hr. The products were approximately equimolar amounts of CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1O</sub> as indicated by gas chromatographic and infrared analysis. Several runs gave similar results.  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  was also observed to undergo decomposition to these products on a heated chromatographic column.

A sample of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  which gave the nmr spectrum listed above was heated for 48 hr. at 50°. After this time, the resonances due to the dimer had decreased and new resonances at 67.5, 69.1  $(CF_3CF=CFCF_3)$  [21], and -58.7 ppm  $(S_2F_{10})$ [22] had appeared. After holding the sample at 100° for 16 hr., all of the dimer resonances disappeared and the  $CF_3CF=CFCF_3$ and  $S_2F_{10}$  resonances increased further. Some resonances ascribable to small amounts of impurities appeared at very high field and also near the Freon-11 standard.

## TABLE 1

Mass spectral data

|     | BrCF(SF <sub>5</sub> )CF <sub>3</sub> | C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>5</sub> CF(SF <sub>5</sub> )CF <sub>3</sub> | $CF_3CFSF_5$ ) <sub>2</sub> |  |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| m/e | I/I <sub>0</sub> x 100                | I/I <sub>0</sub> × 100  | I/I <sub>0</sub> × 100      | Species  |
| 31  | 49                                    | 8   | 19                          | CF <sup>+</sup>  |
| 32  | 5                                     |   |                             | s <sup>+</sup> ,0 <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>                      |
| 50  | 6                                     |   |                             | CF <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>                                     |
| 51  | 5                                     |   |                             | SF <sup>+</sup>  |
| 69  | 65                                    | 100   | 100                         | CF₃ <sup>+</sup>   |
| 70  | 14                                    | 5   | 18                          | ${\rm SF_{2}^{+}}({}^{13}{\rm CF^{+}})$                          |
| 89  | 54                                    | 54  | 60                          | SF3 <sup>+</sup>   |
| 91  |                                       | 3   |                             | $34_{\rm SF_{3}}^{+}$  |
| 93  |                                       |   | 11                          | $C_3F_3^+$   |
| 100 | 10                                    | 9   | 18                          | C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>                       |
| 110 | 5                                     |   |                             | 79 <sub>BrCF</sub> +   |
| 112 | 5                                     |   |                             | 81 <sub>BrCF</sub> +   |
| 119 | 30                                    | 10  |                             | $C_2F_5^+$   |
| 127 | 12                                    | 24  | 26                          | SF5 <sup>+</sup>   |
| 129 | 42                                    |   |                             | 79 <sub>BrCF2</sub> +  |
| 131 | 40                                    | 17  | 61                          | 81 <sub>BrCF2</sub> +,CF <sub>3</sub> CFCF+                      |
| 150 |                                       | 3   | 5                           | C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>6</sub> <sup>+</sup>                       |
| 179 | 100                                   |   |                             | <sup>79</sup> BrCFCF3 <sup>+</sup>                               |
| 181 | 100                                   |   | 25                          | 81 <sub>BrCFCF3</sub> +,<br>CF <sub>3</sub> CFCCF <sub>3</sub> + |
| 200 |                                       |   | 9                           | CF <sub>3</sub> CFCFCF <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>                 |
| 219 |                                       | 3   |                             | $C_2F_5CFCF_3^+$   |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The new compound, 1-pentafluorosulfur-F-ethylsilver, has been prepared by the reaction of trifluorovinylsulfurpentafluoride SF<sub>5</sub>CF=CF<sub>2</sub>, with AgF in acetonitrile. The reaction occurred readily in a few hours at room temperature or slightly above when a considerable excess of SF<sub>5</sub>CF=CF<sub>2</sub> was present but proceeded much more slowly and incompletely when the stoichiometric quantities were present. When the molar ratio of reactants was 2:1, almost all of the AgF dissolved and only a small amount of a fine dark precipitate  $(Ag/Ag_2O)$  remained. Upon filtration under vacuum, a pale yellow solution of AgCF (SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> resulted.

#### CH 3 CN

 $SF_5CF=CF_2 + AgF = AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ 

Solutions of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  in  $CH_3CN$  appeared to be stable for a short time in the dark, but, eventually, a black material precipitated and  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  formed. The decomposition was more rapid in the presence of light and probably involved formation of Ag and  $\cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3$  radicals which abstracted hydrogen atoms from the solvent. Miller et al. [1,2,3] have interpreted their results from studies of F-alkylsilver systems on the basis of radical participation.

AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>, isolated as the acetonitrile solvate, reacted rapidly with substances containing acidic hydrogen, such as HCl, HBr, and H<sub>2</sub>O, to produce mainly HCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> which was prepared earlier by De Marco and Fox [13] using a different route. A small amount of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ , the dimer of the radical  $\cdot$ CF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub>, was also produced in these reactions, suggesting again that AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> was a good radical source.

 $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \longrightarrow Ag^{\circ} + \cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3$  $2 \cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3 \longrightarrow (CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ 

To further investigate this possibility, thermal decomposition experiments were carried out. The results indicated that  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ·CH<sub>3</sub>CN was fairly stable up to about 50°, evolving only CH<sub>3</sub>CN and traces of other substances. Above 50°, the dimer, (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, was the predominant product and was isolated in 41% yield.  $CF_3CF=CFCF_3$  and  $S_2F_{10}$  were also found in the product mixture, which suggested that the dimer was not stable at elevated temperature; this was confirmed in separate experiments and may explain why synthesis of SF5 derivatives by addition of  $S_2F_{10}$  to olefins has been relatively unsuccessful [23]. The presence of a significant amount of SF₄ in the product mixture indicated that another mode of decomposition of the silver product was operative, and

a small amount of  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$  was isolated and partially characterized.  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$  may have arisen by elimination of SF<sub>4</sub> from the silver fluoride-olefin complex and subsequent reaction of the unstable F-ethylsilver with  $\cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3$ 

$$AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 \rightarrow [AgC_2F_5] + SF_4$$
$$[AgC_2F_5] + \cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3 \rightarrow C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3 + Ag$$

or, possibly, from SF4 elimination by the radical  $\cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3$  and subsequent radical combination

$$\cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3 \rightarrow SF_4 + \cdot C_2F_5 \\ \cdot CF(SF_5)CF_3 + \cdot C_2F_5 \rightarrow C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3.$$

Apparently  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$  does not arise by decomposition of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ , since the thermolysis of the dimer yielded only  $CF_3CF = CFCF_3$  and  $S_2F_{1O}$ . These possibilities are speculative, and further work will be necessary to establish the reaction pathways involved in the thermal decomposition of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ .

 $\mbox{AgCF}(SF_5)\mbox{CF}_3$  reacted rapidly with  $\mbox{Br}_2$  to give  $\mbox{BrCF}(SF_5)\mbox{CF}_3$  in good yield:

$$AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 + Br_2 = BrCF(SF_5)CF_3 + AgBr.$$

 $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$ , which also formed in these reactions, may have been produced by direct reaction of 1-bromo-1-pentafluorosulfur-Fethane with the silver fluoride-olefin complex (scheme A) or by thermolysis of the silver fluoride-olefin complex during the exothermic reaction with Br<sub>2</sub> (scheme B).

(A)  $\begin{cases} AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 + Br_2 = BrCF(SF_5)CF_3 + AgBr \\ BrCF(SF_5)CF_3 + AgCF(SF_5)CF_3 = (CF_3CFSF_5)_2 + AgBr \end{cases}$ 

(B) 2 AgCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> = 2 Ag + (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

We favor the first scheme because the weight of AgBr produced in the first reaction was close to the theoretical amount expected on the basis of complete reaction. Furthermore, when an amount of  $Br_2$  which was stoichiometrically insufficient to effect a complete conversion to  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$  was used, the yield of the bromo compound was about 21% and that of the dimer about 46%. When an excess of  $Br_2$  was used, the yield of  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$  increased to 45%.

The mass spectra of the new compounds  $BrCF(SF_5)CF_3$ ,  $C_2F_5CF(SF_5)CF_3$ , and  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  are in agreement with their proposed structures, although it is probable that some decomposition of  $(CF_3CFSF_5)_2$  occurred in the mass spectrometer. The  $19_{\rm F}$  nmr spectrum of BrCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> resembles those observed in similar systems [18] and also supports the proposed structure. The <sup>19</sup>F nmr spectrum of (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is very complex and contains more resonances than would be expected for a system in which there are equivalent SF5, CF3 and CF groups. Chemical analysis of the sample indicated that no significant amounts of impurities were present. In addition, all of the resonances associated with the dimer decreased and eventually disappeared upon heating and were replaced mainly with the characteristic resonances of CF<sub>3</sub>CF=CFCF<sub>3</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>F<sub>10</sub>. It seems likely that the extra resonances' observed for the dimer are due to the presence of stereoisomers, since (CF<sub>3</sub>CFSF<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> possesses two asymmetric centers and can exist in a racemic mixture (R-R, S-S) and a meso form (R-S, S-R). The infrared spectra of these compounds show strong absorptions in the region of 900 and 830  $cm^{-1}$  which are characteristic of the  $SF_5$  group [19]. Bands in the region 1380-1100 are associated with CF stretching modes [19]. The band at 735 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectrum of BrCF(SF<sub>5</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> may be the C-Br stretching mode [20].

In general, the chemistry of  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  is very similar to that of the F-alkylsilver compounds studied by Miller and co-workers [1,2,3]. The presence of the SF<sub>5</sub> group, however, is apparently responsible for some striking differences. For example,  $AgC_6F_5$  and  $AgC(CF_3)=C(CF_3)F$  were alkylated by  $CH_3I$ with formation of AgI [2,3], but  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$  reacted with  $CH_3I$  to form mainly  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$  probably by abstraction of H atoms from the methyl group. Dyatkin et al [6] found that  $AgCF(CF_3)_2$  was oxidized to  $(CF_3)_2CO$  by molecular  $O_2$ , and Miller et al.[2,3] observed that  $AgC_6F_5$  and  $AgC(CF_3)=C(CF_3)F$ reacted with  $O_2$  in  $CH_3CN$  to form mainly the hydro derivatives  $C_6F_5H$  and  $CF_3C(H)=C(CF_3)F$ .  $AgCF(SF_5)CF_3$ , however, reacted with  $O_2$  to form mainly  $CF_3COF$  and  $SOF_4$  in addition to a small amount of  $HCF(SF_5)CF_3$ . Hydrolysis of the residue produced  $SO_2F_2$  suggesting the presence of  $[AgOSF_5]$  as a reaction product.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. H. F. Efner for many helpful discussions and for furnishing some of the  $SF_5CHFCF_2$  Br used in this work. The authors are grateful to Dr. A. Berry for samples of the  $SF_5Br$  and  $SF_5CF=CF_2$  during the initial period of this research and to the Office of Naval Research for support of the work. REN wishes to express his appreciation to the Naval Research Laboratory for its hospitality during a sabbatical leave.

### REFERENCES

- 1 W. T. Miller and R. J. Burnard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>90</u> (1968) 7367.
- 2 W. T. Miller, R. H. Snider, and R. J. Hummel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>91</u> (1969) 6532.
- 3 K. K. Sun and W. T. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>92</u> (1970) 6985.
- 4 R. E. Banks, R. N. Has<sup>z</sup>eldine, D. R. Taylor, and G. Webb, Tetrahedron Lett. (1970) 5215.
- 5 V. R. Polishchuk, L. A. Federov, P. O. Okulevich, L. S. German, and I. L. Knunyants, Tetrahedron Lett. (1970) 3933.
- 6 B. L. Dyatkin, B. I. Martynov, L. G. Martynova, N. G. Kijim, S. R. Sterlin, Z. A. Stumbrevichute, and L. A. Federov, J. Organometallic Chem., 57 (1973) 423.
- 7 J. R. Case, N. H. Ray, and H. L. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc. (1961) 2070.
- 8 J. Steward, L. Kegley, H. F. White, and G. L. Gard, J. Org. Chem., <u>34</u> (1969) 760.
- 9 B. Cohen and A. G. MacDiarmid, Inorg. Chem., 4 (1965) 1782.
- 10 T. A. Kovacina, A. D. Berry, and W. B. Fox, J. Fluor. Chem., <u>4</u> (1976) 430.
- 11 D. A. Dows, J. Chem. Phys., 29(3) (1958) 484.
- 12 R. M. Badger and S. H. Bauer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>59</u> (1937) 303.
- 13 R. A. De Marco and W. B. Fox, to be published.
- 14 D. G. Weiblen in "Fluorine Chemistry," Vol. II, J. H. Simons, ed., Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1954, pp 449-503.

- 15 (a) J. K. Wilmshurst and H. J. Bernstein, Can. J. Chem., <u>35</u> (1957) 191.
  - (b) R. E. Dodd, L. A. Woodward, and H. L. Roberts, Trans.Far. Soc., 53 (1957) 1545.
- 16 P. L. Goggin, H. L. Roberts, and L. A. Woodward, Trans. Far. Soc., 57 (1961) 1877.
- 17 R. E. Dodd, H. L. Roberts, and L. A. Woodward, Trans. Far. Soc., 52 (1956) 1052.
- 18 G. Gard and C. Woolf, J. Fluor. Chem., 1 (1971/72) 487.
- 19 J. K. Brown and J. K. Morgan in "Advances in Fluorine Chemistry," Vol. IV, M. Stacey, J. C. Tatlow, and A. G. Sharpe, eds., Butterworth, Inc., Washington, D.C., 1965, pp. 253-313.
- 20 R. N. Haszeldine, Nature, <u>168</u> (1951) 1028.
- 21 D. M. Roundhill and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. (A) (1968) 506.
- 22 E. G. Finer and R. K. Harris, Spectrochim. Acta (A), (1968) 1939.
- 23 H. L. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc. (1962) 3183.